1	1 WO	
2	CMS 550.0	
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8	8	
9	9 United States of America, NO. 18-01113MJ-003	
10	10 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENT	TION PENDING
11		
12	Jesus Rios-Gonzalez,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing	
16	has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established:	
17	(Check one or both, as applicable.)	
18	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to t	the community and
19	46	
20	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serie	ous flight risk and
21		
	PART I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	23 (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been con	
	offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense	e if a circumstance
	giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is	
26	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	•
	27 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life impri 28 an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonme	

1	more is prescribed in	
2	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two	
3	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	
4	comparable state or local offenses.	
5	any felony that involves a minor victim or that involves the possession or	
6	use of a firearm or destructive device (as those terms are defined in section 921),	
7	or any other dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	
8	2250.	
9	(2) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was	
10	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
1	offense.	
12	(3) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has	
13	elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the	
14	offense described in finding 1.	
15	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no	
16	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other	
17	person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this	
18	presumption.	
19	Alternative Findings	
20	☐ (1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the	
21	defendant has committed an offense	
22	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is	
23	prescribed in	
24	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).	
25	under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment	
26	of 20 years or more is prescribed.	
27		
28	Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).	

1	an offense involving a minor victim under section 18 U.S.C. §		
2	2		
3	\square (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1		
4	that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of		
5	the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
6	Alternative Findings		
7	\square (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or		
8	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as		
9	required.		
10	\square (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety		
11	of others and the community.		
12	\square (3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to		
13	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).		
14	□ (4)		
15			
16	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)		
17			
	\square (1) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing		
18	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
18 19			
19	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
19 20	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
19 20 21	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.		
19 20 21 22	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States		
19 20 21 22 23	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
19 20 21 22 23 24	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the		

1	Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the		
2	jurisdiction of this Court.		
3	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the		
4	District of Arizona.		
5	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she		
6	might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
7	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
8	☐ The defendant lives and works in Mexico.		
9	☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona		
10	or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
11	☐ There is a record of prior failure to comply with court order.		
12	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from		
13	law enforcement.		
14	☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		
15	incarceration and a maximum of		
16	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services		
17	Report, except:		
18			
19	☐ In addition:		
20			
21	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency		
22	which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.		
23			

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation

with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 2/8/2018

James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge

- 5 -